arXiv:1704.05288v1 [math.GM] 18 Apr 2017

An application of Γ-semigroups techniques to the Green's Theorem

Niovi Kehayopulu

Abstract. The concept of a Γ-semigroup has been introduced by Mridul Kanti Sen in the Int. Symp., New Delhi, 1981. It is well known that the Green's relations play an essential role in studying the structure of semigroups. In the present paper we deal with an application of Γ-semigroups techniques to the Green's Theorem in an attempt to show the way we pass from semigroups to Γ-semigroups.

AMS Subject classification: 20M99

Key words: Γ-semigroup, Green's Lemma, Green's Theorem

1 Introduction

The notion of a Γ-ring, a generalization of the concept of associative rings, has been introduced and studied by N. Nobusawa in [7]. Γ-rings have been also studied by W.E. Barnes in [1]. J. Luh studied many properties of simple Γ-rings and primitive Γ-rings in [6]. The concept of a Γ-semigroup has been introduced by M.K. Sen in 1981 as follows: Given two nonempty sets S and Γ, S is called a Γ-semigroup if the following assertions are satisfied:

(1) $a\alpha b \in S$ and $\alpha a\beta \in \Gamma$ and

(2) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a(\alpha b\beta)c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$

for all $a, b, c \in S$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ [9]. In 1986 Sen and Saha gave a second definition of Γ-semigroups as follows: Let $S = \{a, b, c, ...\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, ...\}$ be two nonempty sets. Then S is called a Γ-semigroup if

(1) $a\alpha b \in S$ and

(2) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$

for all $a, b, c \in S$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ [10]. One can find this definition of Γ-semigroups in [13] where the notion of a radical in Γ-semigroups and the notion of ΓS-act over a Γ-semigroup have been introduced and in [11] and [12] where the notions of regular and orthodox Γ-semigroups have been introduced and studied. But

still, we cannot say that Γ is a set of binary operations on M. In [8] N.K. Saha defines the Γ-semigroup as follows: Given two nonempty sets S and Γ, S is called a Γ-semigroup if there exists a mapping

$$S \times \Gamma \times S \to S \mid (a, \gamma, b) \to a\gamma b$$

such that $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, and remarks that most usual semigroup concepts, in particular regular and inverse semigroups, have their analogous for Γ-semigroups. Defining the Γ-semigroup via mappings, in an expression of the form $a_1\gamma_1 a_2\gamma a_2 \ldots a_n\gamma_n$, we can put parentheses in any place beginning with some a_i and ending in some a_j. Our aim is to show the way we pass form semigroups to Γ-semigroups and, as the Green's Theorem is a fundamental result on semigroups, we chose Green's Theorem for our investigation. Green's equivalences for Γ-semigroups have been studied by T.K. Dutta and T.K. Chatterjee in [4], where Green's Lemma for a Γ-semigroup has been also given. Green's Lemma and Green's theorem with a different point of view has been given in [2]. Our methodology and the aim completely differs from that one in [2], we should only mention that for mappings which are mutually inverses we do not need to provide independent proof that they are onto. Mutually inverse mappings are both (1–1) and onto mappings. The results of the present paper are based on the Lemma 2.2 (Green's lemma) and the Theorem 2.3 given by Clifford-Preston in [3], and our aim is to show the way we pass from the results on semigroups to the corresponding results on Γ-semigroups in general.

2 Main result

For two nonempty sets M and Γ, define $M\Gamma M$ as the set of all elements of the form $a\gamma b$, where $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. That is, $M\Gamma M := \{a\gamma b \mid a, b \in M,\ \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Then M is called a Γ-semigroup [10, 5] if the following assertions are satisfied:

(1) $M\Gamma M \subseteq M$.

(2) If $a, b, c, d \in M$ and $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$ such that $a = c$, $\gamma = \mu$ and $b = d$, then $a\gamma b = c\mu d$.

(3) $(a\gamma b)\mu c = a\gamma(b\mu c)$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and all $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$.

In other words, a Γ-semigroup is a nonempty set M with a set Γ of binary

operations on M, satisfying the "associativity condition" $(a\gamma b)\mu c = a\gamma(b\mu c)$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and all $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$. If we have only the conditions (1) and (2), then this is the definition of a Γ-groupoid.

Let us first give an example of a Γ-semigroup based on the definition introduced by M.K. Sen and N.K. Saha in 1986 [10]. We give an example of order 3 which is easy to be checked.

Consider the set $M = \{a, b, c\}$, and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \mu\}$ be the set of two binary operations on M defined by:

γ	a	b	c
a	a	b	c
b	b	c	a
c	c	a	b

μ	a	b	c
a	b	c	a
b	c	a	b
c	a	b	c

Since $(x\rho y)\omega z = x\rho(y\omega z)$ for every $x, y, z \in M$ and every $\rho, \omega \in \Gamma$, M is a Γ-semigroup.

For a Γ-groupoid G the Green's relations $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(a, b) \mid a = b \text{ or } \exists\, x, y \in G \text{ and } \gamma, \mu \in \Gamma : a\gamma x = b,\ b\mu y = a\},$$

$$\mathcal{L} := \{(a, b) \mid a = b \text{ or } \exists\, x, y \in G \text{ and } \gamma, \mu \in \Gamma : x\gamma a = b,\ y\mu b = a\}.$$

For $a \in G$, we denote by $(a)_{\mathcal{R}}$, $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ the $\mathcal{R}$-class of G containing the element a and the $\mathcal{L}$-class of G containing the element a, respectively. One can easily prove that the relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a left congruence on G, that is an equivalence relation on G such that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ implies $(c\gamma a, c\gamma b) \in \mathcal{R}$ for every $c \in G$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and that the relation $\mathcal{L}$ is a right congruence on G, that is, an equivalence relation on G such that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $(a\gamma c, b\gamma c) \in \mathcal{L}$ for every $c \in G$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By a right (resp. left) ideal of G we mean a nonempty subset A of G such that $A\Gamma G \subseteq A$ (resp. $G\Gamma A \subseteq A$). If $R(a)$ (resp. $L(a)$) denotes the right (resp. left) ideal of G generated by the element a of G, then we have $R(a) = \{a\} \cup \{a\}\Gamma G$, $L(a) = \{a\} \cup G\Gamma\{a\}$, also $a\mathcal{R}b$ if and only if $R(a) = R(b)$ and $a\mathcal{L}b$ if and only if $L(a) = L(b)$ [4]. Let us begin with the Green's Lemma for Γ-semigroups.
We first give the Lemma 2.2 (Green) as it is stated in [3].

Lemma 2.2 (Green) [3] *Let a and b be $\mathcal{R}$-equivalent elements of a semigroup S, and let s and s' be the elements of S^1 such that $as = b$ and $bs' = a$. (Such*

elements s and s' must exist). Then the mappings $x \to xs$ $(x \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $y \to ys'$ $(y \in (b)_{\mathcal{L}})$ are mutually inverse, $\mathcal{R}$-class preserving, one-to-one mappings of $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ upon $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$, and of $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$ upon $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, respectively.
According to Clifford-Preston the "σ is $\mathcal{R}$-class preserving" means that "if $x \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $xs\mathcal{R}x$".

Remark 1. If G is a Γ-groupoid, $a, b, s \in G$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a\gamma s = b$, then $(x, a) \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $(x\gamma s, b) \in \mathcal{L}$, and the mapping $\sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\gamma s$ is well defined. Indeed, since $\mathcal{L}$ is a right congruence on G, $(x, a) \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $(x\gamma s, a\gamma s) \in \mathcal{L}$, so $(x\gamma s, b) \in \mathcal{L}$. If $x \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(x, a) \in \mathcal{L}$, thus $(x\gamma s, b) \in \mathcal{L}$, so $x\gamma s \in (b)_{\mathcal{L}}$. □
By Remark 1, by symmetry, we have the following

Remark 2. If G is a Γ-groupoid, $b, a, s' \in G$, $\mu \in \Gamma$ and $b\mu s' = a$, then $(x, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $(x\mu s', a) \in \mathcal{L}$, and the mapping $\sigma' : (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\mu s'$ is well defined. □

If f is a mapping of a set A into the set B and g a mapping of B into A, $g \circ f$ denotes the mapping of A into A defined by $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ for every $x \in A$.

Remark 3. If G is a Γ-semigroup, $a, b, s, s' \in G$ and $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$ such that $a\gamma s = b$ and $b\mu s' = a$, then the mappings

$\sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\gamma s$ and $\sigma' : (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\mu s'$

are mutually inverse mappings. Indeed:

$\sigma' \circ \sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to (x\gamma s)\mu s'$ and $\sigma \circ \sigma' : (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid y \to (y\mu s')\gamma s$.

$\sigma' \circ \sigma$ is the identity mapping on $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Indeed: If $d \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $d = a$ or there exist $t \in G$, $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that $d = t\rho a$. If $d = a$, then

$$(\sigma' \circ \sigma)(d) = (\sigma' \circ \sigma)(a) = (a\gamma s)\mu s' = b\mu s' = a = d.$$

If $t \in G$ and $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that $d = t\rho a$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma' \circ \sigma)(d) : &= (d\gamma s)\mu s' = d\gamma(s\mu s') = (t\rho a)\gamma s\mu s' = t\rho(a\gamma s)\mu s' \\ &= t\rho b\mu s' = t\rho(b\mu s') = t\rho a = d.\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, $\sigma \circ \sigma'$ is the identity mapping on $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$, thus σ and σ' are mutually inverse mappings. As a result, σ and σ' are (1–1) mappings of $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, respectively. □

Remark 4. If G is a Γ-groupoid, $a, b, s, s' \in G$, $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$,

$\sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\gamma s$, $\sigma' : (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\mu s'$,

and the mapping $\sigma' \circ \sigma$ (resp. $\sigma \circ \sigma'$) is the identity mapping, then $x \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ implies $(x\gamma s, x) \in \mathcal{R}$, and $x \in (b)_{\mathcal{L}}$ implies $(x\mu s', x) \in \mathcal{R}$. Indeed: If $\sigma' \circ \sigma$ is the identity mapping and $x \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(\sigma' \circ \sigma)(x) = x$, so $(x\gamma s)\mu s' = x$. Since $(x\gamma s)\mu s' = x$ and $x\gamma s = x\gamma s$, by the definition of $\mathcal{R}$, we have $(x\gamma s, x) \in \mathcal{R}$. The proof of the rest is similar. □

The Remarks mentioned above lead to the following lemma which is the Green's Lemma for Γ-semigroups given by T.K. Dutta and T.K. Chatterjee in [4].

Lemma (Green) [4] *Let a and b be $\mathcal{R}$-equivalent elements of a Γ-semigroup G, $a \neq b$, s, s' the elements of G and γ, μ the elements of Γ for which $a\gamma s = b$ and $b\mu s' = a$. Then the mappings*

$$\sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\gamma s \text{ and } \sigma' : (b)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to x\mu s'$$

are mutually inverse mappings, so σ is a one-to-one mapping of $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$, and σ' a one-to-one mapping $(b)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(a)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, if $x \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(x\gamma s, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ and if $x \in (b)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(x\mu s', x) \in \mathcal{R}$. □

Now let us give the Theorem 2.3 (Green's Theorem) as it is stated in [3] :

Theorem 2.3 (Green) [3] *Let a and c be $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ equivalent elements of a semigroup S. Then there exists b in S such that $a\mathcal{R}b$ and $b\mathcal{L}c$, and hence $as=b$, $bs' = a$, $tb=c$, $t'c = b$ for some $s, s', t, t' \in S^1$. The mappings:*

$$\sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to t\rho x\gamma s$$

and

$$\sigma' : (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to t'\zeta x\mu s'.$$

are mutually inverse, one-to-one mappings of $(a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $(c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$ upon each other.

We denote by $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ the relation on $G \times G$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \{(a, c) \mid \exists\, b \in G : (a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } (b, c) \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

To shorten the proof of Green's Theorem for Γ-semigroups, exactly as in [3], we use the usual notation $G^1 = G \cup \{1\}$, and define $a\gamma 1 = a$ and $1\gamma a = a$ for every $a \in G$.

Using this notation, the relations $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ defined above are clearly written as follows:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(a,b) \mid \exists\, x, y \in G^1 \text{ and } \gamma, \mu \in \Gamma : a\gamma x = b,\ b\mu y = a\},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(a,b) \mid \exists\, x, y \in G^1 \text{ and } \gamma, \mu \in \Gamma : x\gamma a = b,\ y\mu b = a\}.$$

In the following, we present the Green's Theorem for Γ-semigroups.

Theorem (Green) (cf. also [2]) *Let a and c be $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ equivalent elements of a Γ-semigroup G, b the element of G for which $(a,b) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(b,c) \in \mathcal{L}$, s, s', t, t' the elements of G^1 and γ, μ, ρ, ζ the elements of Γ for which*

$$a\gamma s = b,\ b\mu s' = a,\ t\rho b = c,\ t'\zeta c = b.$$

We consider the mappings:

$$\sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to t\rho x\gamma s$$

and

$$\sigma' : (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to t'\zeta x\mu s'.$$

Then the mappings σ and σ' are mutually inverse, σ is a one-to-one mapping of $(a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$ and σ' is a one-to-one mapping of $(c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proof. The mapping σ is well defined. Indeed: Let $x \in (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $(x,a) \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $x = a\xi z$ and $a = x\xi' z'$ for some $z, z' \in G^1$ and $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma$. Since $(x,a) \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $x = w\lambda a$ and $a = w'\lambda' x$ for some $w, w' \in G^1$ and $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Gamma$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &= t\rho b = t\rho(a\gamma s) = t\rho(x\xi' z')\gamma s = t\rho(w\lambda a)\xi' z'\gamma s = t\rho w\lambda(b\mu s')\xi' z'\gamma s \\ &= t\rho w\lambda(a\gamma s)\mu s'\xi' z'\gamma s = t\rho(w\lambda a)\gamma s\mu s'\xi' z'\gamma s = t\rho x\gamma s\mu(s'\xi' z'\gamma s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t\rho x\gamma s = t\rho(a\xi z)\gamma s = t\rho(b\mu s')\xi z\gamma s = (t\rho b)\mu s'\xi z\gamma s = c\mu(s'\xi z\gamma s).$$

Since $s'\xi' z'\gamma s,\ s'\xi z\gamma s \in G^1,\ \ \mu \in \Gamma,\ \ t\rho x\gamma s\mu(s'\xi' z'\gamma s) = c$ and $\ c\mu(s'\xi' z'\gamma s) = t\rho x\gamma s$, we have $(t\rho x\gamma s, c) \in \mathcal{R}$, so $t\rho x\gamma s \in (c)_{\mathcal{R}}$.
We also have

$$\begin{aligned} c &= t\rho b = t\rho(a\gamma s) = t\rho(w'\lambda' x)\gamma s = t\rho w'\lambda'(a\xi z)\gamma s = t\rho w'\lambda'(b\mu s')\xi z\gamma s \\ &= t\rho w'\lambda'(t'\zeta c)\mu s'\xi z\gamma s = t\rho w'\lambda' t'\zeta(t\rho b)\mu s'\xi z\gamma s = t\rho w'\lambda' t'\zeta t\rho(b\mu s')\xi z\gamma s \\ &= t\rho w'\lambda' t'\zeta t\rho a\xi z\gamma s = t\rho w'\lambda' t'\zeta t\rho(a\xi z)\gamma s = (t\rho w'\lambda' t')\zeta(t\rho x\gamma s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t\rho x\gamma s = t\rho(w\lambda a)\gamma s = t\rho w\lambda(a\gamma s) = t\rho w\lambda b = t\rho w\lambda(t'\zeta c) = (t\rho w\lambda t')\zeta c.$$

Since $t\rho w'\lambda' t',\ t\rho w\lambda t' \in G^1,\ \zeta \in \Gamma,\ (t\rho w'\lambda' t')\zeta(t\rho x\gamma s) = c$ and $(t\rho w\lambda t')\zeta c = t\rho x\gamma s$, we have $(t\rho x\gamma s, c) \in \mathcal{L}$, so $t\rho x\gamma s \in (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Hence we obtain $t\rho x\gamma s \in (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$, and the mapping σ is well defined.
The mapping σ' is well defined. Indeed: Let $x \in (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $(x, c) \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $x = c\xi u$ and $c = x\xi' u'$ for some $u, u' \in G^1$ and $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma$. Since $(x, c) \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $x = w\lambda c$ and $c = w'\lambda' x$ for some $w, w' \in G^1$ and $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Gamma$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b\mu s' = (t'\zeta c)\mu s' = t'\zeta(x\xi' u')\mu s' = t'\zeta(w\lambda c)\xi' u'\mu s' = t'\zeta w\lambda(t\rho b)\xi' u'\mu s' \\ &= t'\zeta w\lambda t\rho(a\gamma s)\xi' u'\mu s' = t'\zeta w\lambda t\rho(b\mu s')\gamma s\xi' u'\mu s' = t'\zeta w\lambda(t\rho b)\mu s'\gamma s\xi' u'\mu s' \\ &= t'\zeta(w\lambda c)\mu s'\gamma s\xi' u'\mu s' = (t'\zeta x\mu s')\gamma(s\xi' u'\mu s') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t'\zeta x\mu s' = t'\zeta(c\xi u)\mu s' = (t'\zeta c)\xi u\mu s' = b\xi u\mu s' = (a\gamma s)\xi u\mu s' = a\gamma(s\xi u\mu s').$$

Since $s\xi' u'\mu s',\ s\xi u\mu s' \in G^1,\ \gamma \in \Gamma,\ (t'\zeta x\mu s')\gamma(s\xi' u'\mu s') = a$ and $a\gamma(s\xi u\mu s') = t'\zeta x\mu s'$, we have $(t'\zeta x\mu s', a) \in \mathcal{R}$, so $t'\zeta x\mu s' \in (a)_{\mathcal{R}}$.
We also have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b\mu s' = (t'\zeta c)\mu s' = t'\zeta(w'\lambda' x)\mu s' = t'\zeta w'\lambda'(c\xi u)\mu s' = t'\zeta w'\lambda'(t\rho b)\xi u\mu s' \\ &= t'\zeta w'\lambda' t\rho(t'\zeta c)\xi u\mu s' = t'\zeta w'\lambda' t\rho t'\zeta(c\xi u)\mu s' = (t'\zeta w'\lambda' t)\rho(t'\zeta x\mu s') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t'\zeta x\mu s' = t'\zeta(w\lambda c)\mu s' = t'\zeta w\lambda(t\rho b)\mu s' = t'\zeta w\lambda t\rho(b\mu s') = (t'\zeta w\lambda t)\rho a.$$

Since $t'\zeta w'\lambda' t,\ t'\zeta w\lambda t \in G^1,\ \rho \in \Gamma,\ (t'\zeta w'\lambda' t)\rho(t'\zeta x\mu s') = a$ and $(t'\zeta w\lambda t)\rho a = t'\zeta x\mu s'$, we have $(t'\zeta x\mu s', a) \in \mathcal{L}$, so $t'\zeta x\mu s' \in (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Hence we obtain $t'\zeta x\mu s' \in (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, and the mapping σ' is well defined.
The mappings σ and σ' are mutually inverse mappings. In fact:

$$\sigma' \circ \sigma : (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to (\sigma' \circ \sigma)(x) := \sigma'(\sigma(x)) = t'\zeta(t\rho x\gamma s)\mu s',$$

$$\sigma \circ \sigma' : (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}} \to (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \to (\sigma \circ \sigma')(x) := \sigma(\sigma'(x)) = t\rho(t'\zeta x\mu s')\gamma s.$$

If $d \in (a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(\sigma' \circ \sigma)(d) = d$. Indeed: Since $(d, a) \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $d = a\beta u$ and $a = d\beta' u'$ for some $u, u' \in G^1$ and $\beta, \beta' \in \Gamma$. Since $(d, a) \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $d = w\delta a$ and $a = w'\delta' d$ for some $w, w' \in G^1$ and $\delta, \delta' \in \Gamma$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma' \circ \sigma)(d) &= t'\zeta(t\rho d\gamma s)\mu s' = t'\zeta t\rho(w\delta a)\gamma s\mu s' = t'\zeta t\rho w\delta(a\gamma s)\mu s' \\
&= t'\zeta t\rho w\delta(b\mu s') = t'\zeta t\rho w\delta a = t'\zeta t\rho(w\delta a) = t'\zeta t\rho d = t'\zeta t\rho(a\beta u) \\
&= t'\zeta t\rho(b\mu s')\beta u = t'\zeta(t\rho b)\mu s'\beta u = t'\zeta c\mu s'\beta u = (t'\zeta c)\mu s'\beta u \\
&= b\mu s'\beta u = (b\mu s')\beta u = a\beta u = d.
\end{aligned}$$

If $d \in (c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $(\sigma \circ \sigma')(d) = d$. Indeed: Since $(d, c) \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $d = c\beta u$ and $c = d\beta' u'$ for some $u, u' \in G^1$ and $\beta, \beta' \in \Gamma$. Since $(d, c) \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $d = w\delta c$ and $c = w'\delta' d$ for some $w, w' \in G^1$ and $\delta, \delta' \in \Gamma$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma \circ \sigma')(d) &= t\rho(t'\zeta d\mu s')\gamma s = t\rho t'\zeta(w\delta c)\mu s'\gamma s = t\rho t'\zeta w\delta(t\rho b)\mu s'\gamma s \\
&= t\rho t'\zeta w\delta t\rho(b\mu s')\gamma s = t\rho t'\zeta w\delta t\rho a\gamma s = t\rho t'\zeta w\delta t\rho(a\gamma s) \\
&= t\rho t'\zeta w\delta t\rho b = t\rho t'\zeta w\delta(t\rho b) = t\rho t'\zeta w\delta c = t\rho t'\zeta(w\delta c) \\
&= t\rho t'\zeta d = t\rho t'\zeta(c\beta u) = t\rho(t'\zeta c)\beta u = t\rho b\beta u \\
&= (t\rho b)\beta u = c\beta u = d.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the mappings σ and σ' are mutually inverse mappings, the mapping σ is an one-to-one mapping of $(a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$, and the mapping σ' is an one-to-one mapping of $(c)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (c)_{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $(a)_{\mathcal{R}} \cap (a)_{\mathcal{L}}$. □

With my best thanks to Dr. Michael Tsingelis who helped me in the natural and easy proof of the Green's Theorem on semigroups on which the present article is based.

References

[1] W.E. Barnes, On the Γ-rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 411–422.

[2] R. Chinram, P. Siammai, Green's lemma and Green's theorem for Γ-semigroups, Lobachevskii J. Math. 30, no. 3 (2009), 208–213.

[3] A.H. Clifford, G.B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I. Mathematical Surveys, No. 7 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1961.

[4] T.K. Dutta, T.K. Chatterjee, Green's equivalences on Γ-semigroup. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 80, no. 1 (1988), 30–35.

[5] N. Kehayopulu, On prime, weakly prime ideals in *po*-Γ-semigroups, Lobachevskii J. Math. **30**, no. 4 (2009), 257–262.

[6] Jiang Luh, On the theory of simple Γ-rings, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 65–75.

[7] N. Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964), 81–89.

[8] N.K. Saha, The maximum idempotent separating congruence on an inverse Γ-semigroup, Kyungpook Math. J. **34**, no. 1 (1994), 59–66.

[9] M.K. Sen, On Γ-semigroup. In: Algebra and its applications, Int. Symp. New Delhi, 1981. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **91**, Dekker, New York, 1984, pp. 301–308.

[10] M.K. Sen, N.K. Saha, On Γ-semigroup. I. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **78**, no. 3 (1986), 180–186.

[11] M.K. Sen, N.K. Saha, The maximum idempotent-separating congruence on an orthodox Γ-semigroup, J. Pure Math. **7** (1990), 39–47.

[12] M.K. Sen, N.K. Saha, Orthodox Γ-semigroups, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **13**, no. 3 (1990), 527–534.

[13] M.K. Sen, A. Seth, Radical of Γ-semigroup, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **80**, no. 3 (1988), 189–196.

University of Athens, Department of Mathematics, 15784 Panepistimiopolis, Greece
email: nkehayop@math.uoa.gr